

REVIEW ARTICLE

5 Role of CLIP technologies in understanding 6 RNA–protein interactions in neurological 7 and neuro-oncological disorders: a narrative 8 review

9 Ahmed Mohammed Almutairi^{1*}, Abdulrahman Saleh Alshakrh¹, Khaliel
10 Rafee Almutairi¹, Abdullah Ayad Alaujan¹, Amal Mohammed Almutiry²

11 ABSTRACT

12 RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) are central regulators of posttranscriptional gene expression, controlling RNA
13 splicing, stability, localization, transport, and translation. Disruption of RBP–RNA regulatory networks is
14 increasingly implicated across neurological and neuro-oncological disorders. Crosslinking and immunopre-
15 cipitation (CLIP) technologies enable transcriptome-wide identification of RBP-associated RNAs and, depend-
16 ing on the method, can provide high-resolution information on protein–RNA interaction sites. This narrative
17 review summarizes the fundamental principles, major variants, method-selection considerations, and ana-
18 lytical challenges of CLIP-based approaches, with particular emphasis on HITS-CLIP, PAR-CLIP, iCLIP, eCLIP,
19 irCLIP, and uvCLAP. We critically evaluate disease-specific evidence from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and
20 frontotemporal dementia, fragile X syndrome, spinal muscular atrophy, myotonic dystrophy, Huntington dis-
21 ease, spinocerebellar ataxias, Alzheimer disease, and neuroblastoma. Particular attention is given to distin-
22 guishing direct evidence derived from CLIP experiments from complementary transcriptomic, biochemical,
23 and functional data. We further discuss key methodological and analytical limitations, including crosslink-
24 ing and RNase biases, antibody specificity, input requirements, reproducibility, normalization, peak calling,
25 and the inability of CLIP alone to establish functional causality. Emerging developments, including low-input
26 and single-cell-compatible strategies, integrative multi-omics, and improved computational approaches, may
27 enhance the resolution and biological interpretability of RBP–RNA interaction maps. Ultimately, CLIP-defined
28 regulatory networks can provide valuable frameworks for identifying disease-relevant RNA targets and prior-
29 itizing candidate biomarkers and RNA-targeted therapeutic strategies, but independent functional and clinical
30 validation remains necessary to establish biological and translational relevance.

31 **Keywords:** CLIP, RNA-binding proteins, RNA–protein interactions, neurological disorders, neurodegenerative
32 diseases, neuro-oncology, HITS-CLIP, PAR-CLIP, iCLIP, eCLIP, irCLIP, uvCLAP.

33 Introduction

34 RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) are central regulators of
35 posttranscriptional gene expression, controlling RNA
36 splicing, stability, localization, transport, and translation.
37 These functions are particularly critical in neurons, where
38 extensive cellular processes, compartmentalized RNA
39 metabolism, and activity-dependent responses require
40 precise spatial and temporal regulation of RNA fate.
41 Consequently, genetic or acquired perturbation of RBP
42 function can disrupt neuronal homeostasis and contribute
43 to a broad spectrum of neurological diseases (1,2).

44 Although the biological importance of RBP–
45 RNA interactions is well established, their direct
46

Correspondence to: Ahmed Mohammed Almutairi
*Department of Medical Laboratories, Total Laboratory
Automation (TLA) Laboratory, King Saud University, King
Khalid University Hospital
Email: aalmutairi14@ksu.edu.sa
*Full list of author information is available at the end of
the article.*
Received: 13 August 2025 | **Accepted:** 19 July 2025

47 characterization in cells and tissues remains technically
 48 challenging. Conventional RNA immunoprecipitation-
 49 based approaches can identify transcripts associated with
 50 a given RBP but may not reliably distinguish direct from
 51 indirect associations or determine the precise locations
 52 of interaction sites. CLIP addresses these limitations by
 53 using ultraviolet (UV) irradiation to covalently stabilize
 54 protein–RNA contacts before immunoprecipitation,
 55 controlled RNA fragmentation, library preparation,
 56 sequencing, and computational analysis. Depending on
 57 the specific CLIP strategy, these approaches can generate
 58 transcriptome-wide maps of RBP-associated RNAs with
 59 varying degrees of positional resolution (1,2).

60 Since the development of high-throughput sequencing-
 61 based HITS-CLIP, CLIP methodologies have evolved
 62 substantially. Approaches such as PAR-CLIP, iCLIP,
 63 eCLIP, irCLIP, and uvCLAP differ in their crosslinking
 64 strategies, library-construction schemes, experimental
 65 requirements, controls, and analytical characteristics.
 66 These methods are therefore not interchangeable, and
 67 their relative advantages depend on the biological
 68 question, RBP under investigation, experimental
 69 material, required positional resolution, available input,
 70 and control design. Accordingly, method selection should
 71 be guided by experimental objectives and biological
 72 context rather than by the assumption that a single CLIP
 73 platform is universally superior (1-4).

74 The present review examines how CLIP-based
 75 technologies have contributed to the mechanistic
 76 understanding of RNA dysregulation across neurological
 77 and neuro-oncological disorders. Particular emphasis is
 78 placed on evidence derived directly from CLIP experiments
 79 and on distinguishing such evidence from complementary
 80 transcriptomic, biochemical, and functional findings. The
 81 review focuses on disease-specific applications in ALS/
 82 FTD, FXS, SMA, myotonic dystrophy, Huntington disease
 83 (HD), spinocerebellar ataxias (SCAs), Alzheimer disease
 84 (AD), and neuroblastoma. Neuroblastoma is included as
 85 a neuro-oncological application because RBP-mediated
 86 posttranscriptional regulation has emerged as a biologically
 87 relevant component of its molecular pathophysiology (5,6).
 88 By integrating methodological considerations with disease-
 89 specific evidence, this review aims to highlight both the
 90 contributions and limitations of CLIP-based approaches in
 91 defining disease-relevant RBP–RNA regulatory networks
 92 and to identify emerging opportunities for their application
 93 in mechanistic studies and therapeutic target prioritization.

94 **Narrative Review Methodology**

95 This article was prepared as a narrative review. For the
 96 revised manuscript, the literature was updated through May
 97 2026 using PubMed/MEDLINE and Google Scholar. The
 98 search strategy combined terms related to CLIP and RBP–
 99 RNA interactions with methodological and disease-specific
 100 terms. Representative search terms included “crosslinking
 101 and immunoprecipitation,” “CLIP-seq,” “HITS-CLIP,”
 102 “PAR-CLIP,” “iCLIP,” “eCLIP,” “irCLIP,” “uvCLAP,”
 103 “RNA-binding protein,” “RNA–protein interaction,”
 104 “amyotrophic lateral sclerosis,” “frontotemporal dementia,”
 105 “Fragile X syndrome,” “spinal muscular atrophy,” “myotonic

dystrophy,” “Huntington disease,” “spinocerebellar ataxia,” 107
 “Alzheimer disease,” and “neuroblastoma”. 108

109 Priority was given to primary studies that directly
 110 applied CLIP-based approaches to characterize RBP–
 111 RNA interactions in disease-relevant cells, tissues,
 112 animal models, or human specimens. Methodological
 113 reviews and primary method-development studies were
 114 used to describe CLIP principles, technical advances,
 115 and analytical considerations. Complementary studies
 116 employing RNA sequencing, RIP-seq, functional
 117 perturbation, proteomics, or other mechanistic
 118 approaches were included when they provided relevant
 119 biological context for interpreting CLIP-derived findings;
 120 however, these studies were explicitly distinguished from
 121 direct CLIP evidence. English-language publications
 122 were prioritized. References were selected based on
 123 methodological relevance, strength of primary evidence,
 124 disease relevance, and their contribution to the central
 125 objectives of the review.

126 As a narrative review, this article did not employ a formal
 127 PRISMA-based screening process, meta-analysis, or
 128 quantitative risk-of-bias assessment. The literature search
 129 was complemented by iterative examination of reference
 130 lists from relevant primary studies, methodological
 131 reviews, and key method-development papers. Particular
 132 attention was given to disease-specific primary CLIP
 133 studies and methodological developments relevant to the
 134 scope of this review.

135 **Principle of CLIP Technology**

136 CLIP is designed to capture RNA molecules that are
 137 physically associated with a selected RBP in cells or
 138 tissues. In conventional UV-CLIP, ultraviolet irradiation
 139 induces covalent crosslinking between proteins and RNA
 140 at or near sites of direct molecular contact. Following cell
 141 or tissue lysis, the RBP is immunoprecipitated using a
 142 specific antibody or affinity reagent. Controlled RNase
 143 digestion then reduces unprotected RNA while retaining
 144 short RNA fragments associated with the immunopurified
 145 protein (1,2). 146

147 The recovered RNA fragments are subsequently ligated
 148 to sequencing adapters, converted into sequencing
 149 libraries, and analyzed by high-throughput sequencing.
 150 Bioinformatic processing typically includes quality
 151 filtering, removal of technical artifacts, alignment to
 152 a reference genome or transcriptome, identification of
 153 enriched RNA regions or crosslink-associated signatures,
 154 and annotation of binding sites relative to transcript
 155 features. The resulting interaction map represents an
 156 experimental profile of RBP-associated RNA and should
 157 not be interpreted as a complete catalog of all functional
 158 RBP–RNA interactions (1,2). 159

160 Interpretation of CLIP data depends strongly on the
 161 specific assay and experimental design. Crosslinking
 162 chemistry can introduce sequence- and structure-
 163 dependent biases, whereas RNase digestion can influence
 164 the observable binding landscape by affecting which
 165 RNA fragments are retained for sequencing. Antibody
 166 specificity and immunoprecipitation efficiency can
 167 further affect enrichment, while sequencing depth

168	and computational peak-calling strategies influence	227
169	the number and distribution of reported binding sites.	228
170	Therefore, CLIP-derived signals should be interpreted	229
171	using appropriate experimental controls and, where	230
172	possible, supported by independent biochemical or	231
173	functional validation (1,2).	232
174		233
175	Major CLIP Variants and Method Selection	234
176		235
177	Conventional UV-CLIP provides the methodological	236
178	foundation for several subsequent CLIP variants. It typically	237
179	uses 254-nm ultraviolet irradiation to induce covalent	238
180	crosslinking between an RBP and directly associated	239
181	RNA, followed by immunoprecipitation, controlled RNA	240
182	digestion, library preparation, and sequencing. Although	241
183	conventional UV-CLIP can provide transcriptome-wide	242
184	information on RBP-associated RNAs, subsequent CLIP	243
185	variants were developed to improve positional resolution,	244
186	experimental efficiency, background assessment,	245
187	scalability, or workflow flexibility (1-4).	246
188		247
189	HITS-CLIP couples UV CLIP with high-throughput	248
190	sequencing to generate transcriptome-wide maps of RBP-	249
191	associated RNAs. It remains useful when the primary	250
192	objective is to define a broad RBP target landscape,	251
193	although its positional resolution is generally lower	252
194	than that of approaches specifically designed to exploit	253
195	reverse-transcription termination or crosslink-induced	254
196	sequence signatures (1,2).	255
197		256
198	PAR-CLIP incorporates photoreactive ribonucleoside	257
199	analogs, such as 4-thiouridine (4SU), into newly	258
200	synthesized RNA before ultraviolet irradiation.	259
201	Crosslinking can generate characteristic nucleotide	260
202	substitutions during reverse transcription, providing	261
203	molecular signatures that facilitate the identification of	262
204	protein–RNA interaction sites. However, the requirement	263
205	for metabolic labeling can limit its application in primary	264
206	tissues and certain in vivo settings (1,2,7).	265
207		266
208	iCLIP exploits reverse-transcription termination at or near	267
209	protein–RNA crosslink sites and captures truncated cDNA	268
210	products, enabling high-resolution mapping of interaction	269
211	positions. However, nucleotide-level resolution should	270
212	be regarded as a methodological capability rather than	271
213	an unconditional outcome, as mapping precision can be	272
214	influenced by crosslinking chemistry, reverse-transcription	273
215	behavior, library complexity, sequencing depth, and	274
216	computational processing (1,2).	275
217		276
218	eCLIP improves scalability and background assessment	277
219	through standardized library construction and the use	278
220	of size-matched input controls. It is particularly suited	279
221	to comparative profiling of multiple RBPs and large-	
222	scale studies of RBP–RNA interactions. Nevertheless,	
223	its effective positional resolution is not intrinsically	
224	equivalent to that of iCLIP and depends on crosslinking	
225	efficiency, library preparation, sequencing depth, and	
226	peak-calling strategies (1,2,8).	
	irCLIP uses infrared dye-conjugated adapters to	
	enable non-radioactive visualization of RNA–protein	
	complexes and facilitate efficient detection during	
	library preparation. Subsequent developments, including	
	irCLIP-RNP and Re-CLIP, have extended CLIP-based	
	approaches toward the characterization of RNA-	
	associated RBP assemblies and shared RNA targets.	
	uvCLAP combines ultraviolet crosslinking with affinity	
	purification and provides a non-radioactive approach	
	for identifying RBP-associated RNAs that can support	
	multiplexed experimental designs. Although these newer	
	platforms offer increased experimental flexibility and	
	workflow efficiency, they remain subject to biological and	
	analytical biases associated with crosslinking chemistry,	
	affinity purification, RNA digestion, sequencing depth,	
	and computational analysis. The major characteristics,	
	advantages, limitations, and applications of the principal	
	CLIP variants are summarized in Table 1 (2,4).	
		238
	Choosing the appropriate CLIP method	239
		240
	Method selection should begin with the biological question	241
	rather than with the assumption that a single platform is	242
	universally optimal. HITS-CLIP is appropriate for broad	243
	transcriptome-wide target discovery when precise positional	244
	mapping is not essential. PAR-CLIP is advantageous when	245
	metabolic labeling is feasible and crosslink-associated	246
	nucleotide substitutions can assist in site assignment. iCLIP	247
	is particularly useful when high-resolution localization	248
	relative to splice sites or other regulatory elements is	249
	central to the biological question. eCLIP is well suited to	250
	standardized comparative profiling and large-scale studies	251
	involving multiple RBPs. irCLIP can be advantageous	252
	when non-radioactive visualization, efficient workflow	253
	monitoring, or limited input material is important, whereas	254
	uvCLAP is useful when affinity purification strategies and	255
	multiplexed experimental designs are practical.	256
		257
	The choice of method should also consider the biological	258
	material, sample availability, antibody or affinity-reagent	259
	performance, required positional resolution, sequencing	260
	depth, availability of appropriate controls, and	261
	computational infrastructure. These considerations are	262
	particularly important in disease-relevant systems, where	263
	primary tissue, patient-derived cells, or other biologically	264
	informative samples may impose substantial limitations	265
	on experimental design and input requirements (1-4,7).	266
		267
	Applications in Neurological and Neuro-	268
	Oncological Disorders	269
		270
	The disease-specific literature demonstrates that the	271
	greatest value of CLIP lies in integrating RBP–RNA	272
	binding maps with complementary transcriptomic,	273
	biochemical, and functional evidence. Accordingly,	274
	the following sections focus on the biological question	275
	addressed by each study, the experimental model in which	276
	RBP–RNA interactions were measured, and the extent	277
	to which the resulting evidence supports a mechanistic	278
	interpretation. CLIP-derived binding maps are therefore	279
	interpreted as evidence of RBP occupancy, whereas	
	functional consequences and disease causality require	
	independent experimental validation, as summarized in	
	Table 2 (1,2).	
	<i>Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal</i>	280
	<i>dementia</i>	281
	TDP-43 and FUS are key RBPs implicated in	282
	amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and frontotemporal	283

284 **Table 1.** Comparison of major CLIP-based technologies, including their core principles, effective resolution, key advantages,
285 limitations, and best-fit applications.

Method	Core principle	Effective resolution	Key advantages	Key limitations	Best-fit use
HITS-CLIP	254-nm UV crosslinking + IP + sequencing	Regional to moderate	Foundational, direct in vivo mapping	Low crosslink efficiency; positional ambiguity	Global target discovery in cells/tissues (1,2)
PAR-CLIP	Photoreactive nucleoside labeling + 365-nm UV	High when mutation signatures are informative	Strong crosslinking; diagnostic RT signatures	Metabolic labeling; nucleotide bias	Cultured cells and controlled labeling experiments (1,2,7)
iCLIP	RT truncation captured at crosslink sites	High / near-nucleotide	Precise site mapping; strong for splicing	Technically demanding; computational complexity	Splicing and fine-scale RBP occupancy (1,2)
eCLIP	CLIP + size-matched input controls	High but context-dependent	Scalable; improved background control	Requires substantial sequencing and bioinformatics	Comparative RBP profiling (1,2,8)
irCLIP	Infrared adapter-based CLIP	Comparable to underlying CLIP design	Non-radioactive; rapid QC; quantitative visualization	Still dependent on antibody and crosslink performance	Low-input/QC-sensitive workflows and extensions (2,3)
uvCLAP	UV crosslinking + affinity purification	Method-dependent	Robust, non-radioactive, multiplexable	Requires suitable affinity strategy	Tagged RBPs and multiplexed target discovery (2,4)

Table 2. Disease-specific applications of CLIP-based technologies in neurological and neuro-oncological disorders.

Disorder	RBP	CLIP platform	Model / material	Principal CLIP evidence	Interpretive level
ALS/FTD	TDP-43	UV-CLIP; iCLIP	Human neuronal cells / human brain	UG-rich and intronic binding; altered occupancy in FTD brain	Direct binding + functional interpretation (9-11)
Fragile X syndrome	FMRP	HITS-CLIP	Multiple brain regions	Broad neuronal target repertoire	Direct binding; functional relevance requires validation (12,13)
SMA	SMN	HITS-CLIP	SH-SY5Y neuronal cells	SMN-associated RNAs including <i>ANXA2</i>	Direct binding; disease relevance requires validation (14,15)
Myotonic dystrophy	MBNL2	HITS-CLIP	Human DM1/DM2 brain	Binding to expanded repeat RNA and loss from normal targets	Direct binding evidence integrated with complementary functional data (16,17)
Huntington's disease	RBM5	HITS-CLIP	WT and R6/2 mouse brain	Genotype-associated changes in RBM5 target landscape	Direct model-based evidence (18)
SCA8	CUGBP1	CLIP	Mouse hindbrain	Candidate targets including <i>Gabt4</i>	Direct binding + functional follow-up (19)
Alzheimer's disease	nELAVL; G3BP1/2	HITS-CLIP; eCLIP	Human brain; SH-SY5Y cells	Altered nELAVL binding in AD brain; broad G3BP target maps	Direct binding; translational relevance remains prospective (20,21)
Neuroblastoma	nELAVL; NONO	HITS-CLIP for nELAVL; complementary NONO studies	Neuroblastoma cell models	nELAVL-associated RNA regulation; complementary evidence for NONO-dependent RNA regulation	Neuro-oncological application; direct CLIP and complementary evidence should be distinguished (5,6,20)

286 dementia (FTD), in which nuclear depletion, cytoplasmic
287 mislocalization, and aggregation are associated with

widespread abnormalities in RNA metabolism and processing. Early UV-CLIP and subsequent iCLIP studies 288
289

290	established transcriptome-wide binding landscapes for	348
291	TDP-43 and demonstrated preferential association with	349
292	UG-rich RNA regions, including extended intronic	350
293	regions and disease-relevant transcripts. In human FTD	351
294	brain, iCLIP further revealed altered TDP-43 binding to	352
295	non-coding RNAs and deep intronic regions, providing	
296	direct evidence of disease-associated changes in TDP-43	
297	RNA occupancy (9-11).	
298	More recent studies have extended this mechanistic	
299	framework by linking nuclear TDP-43 loss in ALS/FTD	
300	to widespread changes in alternative polyadenylation.	
301	These findings provide complementary evidence for	
302	downstream consequences of TDP-43 dysfunction but	
303	do not, in isolation, identify the direct TDP-43 binding	
304	sites responsible for individual changes. This distinction	
305	is critical: CLIP establishes RBP occupancy, whereas	
306	transcriptomic and functional experiments are required	
307	to determine whether altered occupancy contributes to a	
308	specific molecular or disease phenotype (11).	
309	<i>Fragile X syndrome</i>	
310	Fragile X syndrome (FXS) results from the loss or	
311	functional deficiency of FMRP, an RBP with important	
312	roles in neuronal RNA transport, localization, and	
313	translation. HITS-CLIP studies performed across multiple	
314	brain regions identified a broad repertoire of FMRP-	
315	associated transcripts and revealed regional differences	
316	in FMRP RNA binding. These findings expanded the	
317	known FMRP target landscape beyond a limited set of	
318	synaptic mRNAs and identified transcripts involved in	
319	neuronal development and synaptic function (12).	
320	The major contribution of CLIP to understanding FXS is	
321	therefore not simply the identification of additional FMRP	
322	targets, but the demonstration that FMRP associates	
323	with a distributed network of neuronal transcripts.	
324	However, CLIP-derived target enrichment can be	
325	influenced by transcript abundance, transcript length,	
326	and tissue-specific expression. Consequently, functional	
327	studies remain necessary to distinguish disease-relevant	
328	regulatory targets from associations that primarily reflect	
329	underlying neuronal expression patterns (12,13).	
330	<i>Spinal muscular atrophy</i>	
331	Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is primarily caused	
332	by insufficient levels of survival motor neuron (SMN)	
333	protein. Because SMN participates in multiple aspects of	
334	RNA metabolism, characterization of SMN-associated	
335	RNAs provides a mechanistic perspective beyond its	
336	canonical role in small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP)	
337	assembly. Ottesen et al. used HITS-CLIP in neuronal	
338	SH-SY5Y cells to identify cellular RNAs associated	
339	with SMN, including transcripts involved in ribosome	
340	biogenesis and cytoskeletal regulation, and independently	
341	validated a direct interaction with <i>ANXA2</i> mRNA (14).	
342	These findings provide evidence for direct SMN–RNA	
343	interactions but should not be interpreted as demonstrating	
344	that all identified targets are pathogenic drivers of SMA.	
345	Importantly, the CLIP experiments were performed in a	
346	neuronal cell line rather than in primary motor neurons	
347	from patients with SMA. More recent studies have linked	
	altered presynaptic Munc13-1 mRNA localization and	348
	local translation to synaptic abnormalities in mouse and	349
	patient-derived motor neurons, providing complementary	350
	functional evidence that impaired RNA localization and	351
	local translation may contribute to SMA pathogenesis (15).	352
	<i>Myotonic dystrophy</i>	353
	Myotonic dystrophy provides an important example of	354
	an RNA-mediated disease mechanism in which CLIP	355
	can directly inform the molecular model. In myotonic	356
	dystrophy type 1 (DM1) and type 2 (DM2), expanded	357
	repeat-containing RNAs sequester MBNL proteins and	358
	disrupt normal RNA processing. MBNL2 HITS-CLIP	359
	in human hippocampus and frontal cortex demonstrated	360
	enrichment of MBNL2 binding at the expanded <i>DMPK</i>	361
	CUG repeat in DM1 and the <i>CNBP</i> CCUG repeat in	362
	DM2, together with depletion of MBNL2 from its normal	363
	RNA targets (16).	364
	Integration of HITS-CLIP with RNA-processing	365
	analyses linked toxic repeat RNA, RBP sequestration,	366
	and downstream abnormalities in alternative splicing	367
	and polyadenylation. This provides a strong example	368
	of how CLIP-derived occupancy data can contribute	369
	to a mechanistic disease model. Nevertheless, causal	370
	interpretation depends on complementary functional	371
	experiments and disease models rather than on CLIP	372
	alone (16,17).	373
	<i>Huntington disease</i>	374
	HD is caused by an expanded CAG repeat in the <i>HTT</i>	375
	gene. Although transcriptional and RNA-processing	376
	abnormalities have been extensively reported in HD,	377
	evidence describing disease-associated alterations in	378
	RBP regulation remains comparatively limited. Mullari	379
	et al. characterized the RNA-binding protein landscape	380
	of the mammalian brain and identified dysregulation of	381
	RBM5 in mouse models of HD, supporting a potential	382
	role for altered RNA-binding protein regulation in HD	383
	molecular pathology (18).	384
	These findings should be interpreted cautiously because	385
	the available evidence was derived from mouse disease	386
	models rather than human HD brain. RBM5 dysregulation	387
	therefore represents a candidate molecular alteration	388
	requiring further investigation in human tissue and	389
	through functional perturbation studies. More broadly,	390
	the study illustrates how systematic characterization of	391
	RNA-binding proteins can identify candidate alterations	392
	in RNA regulation that may contribute to HD pathology,	393
	although additional experimental evidence is required	394
	to establish direct mechanistic and disease-specific	395
	consequences (18).	396
	<i>Spinocerebellar ataxias</i>	397
	SCAs comprise a heterogeneous group of inherited	398
	neurodegenerative disorders, several of which involve	399
	repeat expansions and RNA-mediated pathogenic	400
	mechanisms. SCA8 provides an example in which	401
	CLIP was used to identify candidate RBP-associated	402
	RNAs relevant to disease. Daughters et al. used CLIP	403
	in mouse hindbrain to map CUGBP1-associated	404

405 RNAs and identified transcripts, including *Gab14*, that
 406 were subsequently investigated in relation to altered
 407 GABAergic signaling and RNA processing in SCA8
 408 models (20).

409 The SCA8 study illustrates the appropriate interpretation
 410 of CLIP in repeat-expansion disorders: CLIP can
 411 identify candidate RBP-associated transcripts, whereas
 412 expression, splicing, biochemical, and functional
 413 studies are required to determine whether these targets
 414 contribute directly to disease phenotypes. More broadly,
 415 an integrated CLIP-based strategy may help distinguish
 416 disease-relevant RBP-repeat RNA interactions from
 417 secondary alterations in neuronal RNA metabolism (20).

418 *Alzheimer disease*

419 The Alzheimer disease (AD) literature provides disease-
 420 specific evidence that CLIP can detect changes in RBP
 421 occupancy in human brain. Scheckel et al. performed
 422 nELAVL HITS-CLIP together with RNA sequencing
 423 in human brain samples from controls and individuals
 424 with advanced AD. The study identified thousands
 425 of nELAVL binding sites and demonstrated disease-
 426 associated changes in nELAVL occupancy accompanied
 427 by alterations in RNA splicing, including changes
 428 involving disease-relevant transcripts. Remodeling of
 429 nELAVL association with noncoding Y RNAs was also
 430 observed, raising the possibility that altered RBP-RNA
 431 interactions contribute to changes in RNA homeostasis
 432 in AD (21).

433 More recent eCLIP experiments in neuronal SH-SY5Y
 434 cells demonstrated that the stress-granule-associated
 435 RBPs G3BP1 and G3BP2 bind numerous mRNAs and
 436 long non-coding RNAs, with several AD-associated
 437 transcripts represented among stress-granule-associated
 438 RNA populations. These findings provide complementary
 439 evidence linking RBP-RNA interactions with stress-
 440 granule biology and RNA homeostasis relevant to AD.
 441 However, because these experiments were conducted
 442 in neuronal cell models rather than AD patient brain,
 443 their direct relevance to human disease requires further
 444 validation (22).

445 Accordingly, the available evidence should be interpreted
 446 cautiously. CLIP can establish RBP-RNA occupancy,
 447 but it cannot independently establish that a particular
 448 interaction initiates AD pathology, represents a clinically
 449 validated biomarker, or constitutes a therapeutic target.
 450 Establishing disease causality requires integration with
 451 human genetic and longitudinal evidence, experimental
 452 perturbation, and functional rescue studies (1,21,22).

453 *Neuroblastoma: a neuro-oncological application*

454 Neuroblastoma is a pediatric malignancy derived from
 455 the neural crest and sympathetic nervous system lineage
 456 and is therefore considered a neuro-oncological rather
 457 than a classical neurogenetic disorder. It is included in
 458 this review because posttranscriptional RNA regulation
 459 and RBP-mediated mechanisms have emerged as relevant
 460 components of neuroblastoma biology. The available
 461 evidence should nevertheless be distinguished according
 462 to whether findings were obtained directly using CLIP

or through complementary functional and molecular
 approaches (5,6).

The nELAVL HITS-CLIP study by Scheckel et al.
 included IMR-32 neuroblastoma cells as a complementary
 experimental model and demonstrated that neuronal
 ELAV-like proteins participate in the regulation of RNA
 abundance and splicing (21). In addition, studies of NONO
 in neuroblastoma have provided functional evidence that
 its RNA-binding activity contributes to the maintenance
 of SREBP expression and cholesterol biosynthesis, while
 other work has implicated NONO in the regulation of
 super-enhancer-associated transcripts, including *GATA2*
 and *HAND2*. These findings support a role for RBP-
 dependent RNA regulation in neuroblastoma but should
 not be classified as CLIP-derived evidence unless the
 corresponding experiments directly employed a CLIP-
 based method (5,6).

Taken together, neuroblastoma is best viewed as a neuro-
 oncological extension of CLIP-based RNA biology
 rather than as a classical neurogenetic disorder. This
 distinction allows direct CLIP-derived evidence to be
 evaluated within the broader context of RBP-mediated
 posttranscriptional regulation while maintaining a clear
 separation between direct RNA-binding evidence and
 complementary functional observations.

Limitations and Analytical Challenges

CLIP is a powerful technology for mapping RBP-RNA
 occupancy, but the observed signal is shaped by both
 biological properties and experimental design. UV
 crosslinking is inefficient and chemically selective,
 meaning that RNA sequence composition and structural
 context can influence the probability of crosslink
 formation. PAR-CLIP introduces additional sources of
 bias through metabolic labeling and crosslink-associated
 nucleotide substitutions. RNase digestion can further
 influence the observed binding landscape because RNA
 accessibility affects susceptibility to cleavage and the size
 of RNA fragments recovered for sequencing (1,2,4,7).

Antibody specificity is another major determinant of
 CLIP data quality. Off-target immunoprecipitation can
 produce nonspecific enrichment and false-positive peaks,
 whereas insufficient affinity or poor immunoprecipitation
 efficiency can reduce sensitivity. Where feasible,
 experimental designs should incorporate appropriate
 controls, including independent antibodies, tagged
 endogenous proteins, no-UV controls, IgG controls,
 and size-matched input controls, depending on the CLIP
 platform. Biological replicates are also essential because
 technical reproducibility cannot substitute for biological
 replication (1,2).

Library complexity and PCR amplification can
 distort apparent binding strength and contribute to the
 overrepresentation of individual molecules. Unique
 molecular identifiers (UMIs) can assist in identifying
 amplification-derived duplicates in workflows that
 incorporate them. Sequencing depth, read-mapping
 strategy, transcript annotation, and peak-calling
 algorithms can also influence the number and genomic
 or transcriptomic locations of reported binding sites.

Differences in normalization procedures and peak definitions may further complicate comparisons between datasets generated using different CLIP variants. Standardized analytical pipelines and public deposition of raw and processed sequencing data can improve reproducibility and facilitate independent reanalysis (1,2,22,23).

Finally, CLIP measures RBP occupancy rather than biological function. A reproducible binding event may represent a functional regulatory interaction, a context-dependent association, or incidental occupancy without a measurable downstream effect. Functional interpretation therefore requires integration with complementary approaches, including perturbation experiments, RNA sequencing, splicing or polyadenylation analyses, proteomics, reporter assays, and, where feasible, rescue experiments. In heterogeneous brain tissue, single-cell or spatially resolved approaches may additionally be required to distinguish cell-type-specific and region-specific RBP–RNA interactions that can be obscured in bulk tissue measurements (1,2).

Future Perspectives

The next stage of CLIP development is likely to focus increasingly on resolving biological context rather than simply increasing the number of detectable RBP-binding sites. Low-input and streamlined workflows may facilitate the application of CLIP to patient-derived neurons and other clinically relevant specimens in which biological material is limited. Single-cell and spatially resolved approaches could potentially connect RBP occupancy with neuronal subtype, anatomical location, and disease stage. However, these applications should currently be regarded as emerging methodological directions rather than established clinical technologies (1,3).

Computational analysis is also becoming increasingly important for CLIP interpretation. Probabilistic and machine-learning approaches can integrate biological replicates, input controls, sequence features, transcript abundance, and other experimental variables to improve the identification and prioritization of candidate binding sites. Models trained on large-scale eCLIP datasets may also assist in predicting how genetic variants could influence RBP–RNA interactions. Nevertheless, computational predictions remain hypothesis-generating and require experimental validation before being interpreted as evidence of functional or disease-relevant effects (1,2,8,22).

CLIP may contribute to biomarker discovery by identifying disease-associated changes in RBP occupancy and RNA-processing networks. However, a CLIP-derived binding event should not itself be considered a validated diagnostic or prognostic biomarker. Clinical translation requires independent patient cohorts, analytical and clinical validation, assessment of sensitivity and specificity, and demonstration of incremental value beyond established clinical or molecular measures (1,2).

Similarly, CLIP can help prioritize RBP–RNA interactions for therapeutic investigation but cannot establish therapeutic efficacy. Candidate interactions

can subsequently be evaluated using approaches such as antisense oligonucleotides, small molecules, RNA-targeting strategies, or genetic perturbation. A strong translational framework should therefore integrate CLIP-defined molecular maps with functional validation, patient-derived models, and clinically relevant outcome measures (1,3).

Conclusion

CLIP technologies have transformed the study of RBP–RNA interactions by enabling transcriptome-wide mapping of RBP-associated RNAs and, depending on the platform, high-resolution identification of interaction sites. Their greatest contribution to disease biology arises when binding maps are integrated with functional and transcriptomic evidence linking RBP occupancy to alterations in RNA splicing, polyadenylation, localization, translation, or cellular phenotypes.

Evidence from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia, Fragile X syndrome, SMA, myotonic dystrophy, HD, SCAs, and AD illustrates both the mechanistic value and the analytical limitations of CLIP-based approaches. Neuroblastoma further demonstrates how CLIP-based RNA biology can inform neuro-oncological research when direct CLIP-derived evidence is clearly distinguished from complementary functional and molecular studies.

Overall, CLIP should be regarded as a powerful tool for defining RBP–RNA occupancy rather than as a standalone method for establishing functional causality. Future advances in low-input, single-cell, spatial, computational, and integrative multi-omics approaches may improve the biological resolution and translational utility of CLIP-derived interaction maps. However, functional validation, disease-relevant experimental models, and independent clinical validation will remain essential for determining the mechanistic and translational significance of identified RBP–RNA interactions.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of their institution and colleagues who contributed valuable discussions during the preparation of this review.

List of Abbreviations

AD	Alzheimer disease	
ALS	amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	
CLIP	crosslinking and immunoprecipitation	
DM	myotonic dystrophy	
eCLIP	enhanced CLIP	
FMRP	fragile X mental retardation protein	
FTD	frontotemporal dementia	
FXS	fragile X syndrome	
HD	Huntington disease	
HITS-CLIP	high-throughput sequencing CLIP	
iCLIP	individual-nucleotide resolution CLIP	
irCLIP	infrared CLIP	
MBNL	muscleblind-like	
NONO	non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein	
PAR-CLIP	photoactivatable-ribonucleoside-enhanced CLIP	
RBP	RNA-binding protein	

640 SCA spinocerebellar ataxia
 641 SMA spinal muscular atrophy
 642 SMN survival motor neuron
 643 UV ultraviolet
 644 uvCLAP ultraviolet crosslinking and affinity purification

645 **Conflict of interest**

646 The authors of this article have no affiliations with or
 647 involvement in any organization or entity with any financial
 648 interest or non-financial interest in the subject matter or
 649 materials discussed in this manuscript.

650 **Funding**

651 This research received no external funding.

652 **Consent for publication**

653 **All authors have read and approved the final version of**
 654 **the manuscript and consent to its publication. The authors**
 655 **confirm that the manuscript has not been published**
 656 **previously and is not under consideration for publication**
 657 **elsewhere.**

658 **Ethical approval**

659 Not applicable.

660 **Author contributions**

661 Ahmed Mohammed Almutairi: Conceptualization, literature
 662 search, manuscript drafting, critical revision, and overall
 663 coordination.

664 Abdulrahman Saleh Alshakrh: Literature review and critical
 665 revision.

666 Khaliel Rafee Almutairi: Literature review and manuscript
 667 revision.

668 Abdullah Ayad Alaujan: Literature review, table preparation,
 669 and critical revision.

670 Amal Mohammed Almutiry: Literature review and critical
 671 revision.

672 All authors contributed to the manuscript, reviewed and
 673 approved the final version, and agreed to be accountable for
 674 the work.

675 **Author details**

676 Ahmed Mohammed Almutairi¹, Abdulrahman Saleh
 677 Alshakrh¹, Khaliel Rafee Almutairi¹, Abdullah Ayad Alaujan¹,
 678 Amal Mohammed Almutiry²

679 1. Department of Medical Laboratories, Total Laboratory
 680 Automation (TLA) Laboratory, King Saud University, King
 681 Khalid University Hospital

682 2. Regional Dental Center, Ministry of Health, Qassim, Saudi
 683 Arabia

684 **References**

685 1. Hafner M, Katsantoni M, Köster T, Marks J, Mukherjee
 686 J, Staiger D, et al. CLIP and complementary methods.
 687 *Nat Rev Methods Primers*. 2021;1(1):20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-021-00018-1>
 688 2. Xiang JS, Schafer DM, Rothamel KL, Yeo GW.
 689 Decoding protein-RNA interactions using CLIP-based
 690 methodologies. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2024;25(12):879–95.
 691 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-024-00749-3>
 692 3. Ducoli L, Zarnegar BJ, Porter DF, Meyers RM, Miao W,
 693 Riley NM, et al. irCLIP-RNP and Re-CLIP reveal patterns
 694 of dynamic protein assemblies on RNA. *Nature*. 2025
 695 May;641(8063):769–78. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08787-5>

697 4. Maticzka D, Ilik IA, Aktas T, Backofen R, Akhtar A. uvCLAP
 698 is a fast and non-radioactive method to identify in
 699 vivo targets of RNA-binding proteins. *Nat Commun*.
 700 2018;9(1):1142. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03575-4>.
 701 5. Zhang S, Ingram H, Cooper J, Naveed A, Kathman
 702 SG, Lindsey GL, et al. NONO Maintains SREBP-
 703 Regulated Cholesterol Biosynthesis via RNA Binding
 704 in Neuroblastoma. *FASEB J*. 2025 Sep;39(18):e71051.
 705 <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202403267RR>
 706 6. Zhang S, Cooper JA, Chong YS, Naveed A, Mayoh C,
 707 Jayatilke N, et al. NONO enhances mRNA processing of
 708 super-enhancer-associated GATA2 and HAND2 genes in
 709 neuroblastoma. *EMBO Rep*. 2023;24(2):e54977. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202254977>.
 710 7. Danan C, Manickavel S, Hafner M. PAR-CLIP: a method for
 711 transcriptome-wide identification of RNA binding protein
 712 interaction sites. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2022;2404:167–88.
 713 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1851-6_9.
 714 8. Van Nostrand EL, Pratt GA, Shishkin AA, Gelboin-Burkhart
 715 C, Fang MY, Sundararaman B, et al. Robust transcriptome-
 716 wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding sites with
 717 enhanced CLIP (eCLIP). *Nat Methods*. 2016;13(6):508–14.
 718 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3810>.
 719 9. Polymenidou M, Lagier-Tourenne C, Hutt KR, Huelga SC,
 720 Moran J, Liang TY, et al. Long pre-mRNA depletion and
 721 RNA missplicing contribute to neuronal vulnerability from
 722 loss of TDP-43. *Nat Neurosci*. 2011;14(4):459–68. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2779>.
 723 10. Tollervey JR, Curk T, Rogelj B, Briesse M, Cereda M, Kayikci
 724 M, et al. Characterizing the RNA targets and position-
 725 dependent splicing regulation by TDP-43. *Nat Neurosci*.
 726 2011 Apr;14(4):452–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2778>.
 727 11. Zeng Y, Lovchykova A, Akiyama T, Liu C, Jawahar
 728 VM, et al. (2024). TDP-43 nuclear loss in FTD/ALS causes
 729 widespread alternative polyadenylation changes. *bioRxiv*:
 730 the preprint server for biology, 2024.01.22.575730.
 731 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.22.575730>.
 732 12. Maurin T, Lebrigand K, Castagnola S, Paquet A, Jarjat M,
 733 Popa A, et al. HITS-CLIP in various brain areas reveals
 734 new targets and new modalities of RNA binding by
 735 fragile X mental retardation protein. *Nucleic Acids Res*.
 736 2018;46(12):6344–55. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky267>.
 737 13. Ouwenga RL, Dougherty J. Fmrp targets or not: long,
 738 highly brain-expressed genes tend to be implicated in
 739 autism and brain disorders. *Mol Autism*. 2015;6(1):16.
 740 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-015-0008-1>.
 741 14. Ottesen EW, Singh NN, Luo D, Singh RN. High-affinity
 742 RNA targets of the Survival Motor Neuron protein reveal
 743 diverse preferences for sequence and structural motifs.
 744 *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2018;46(20):10983–1001. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky770>.
 745 15. Moradi M, Weingart J, Deng C, Nasouti M, Briesse
 746 M, Jablonka S, et al. Munc13-1 restoration mitigates
 747 presynaptic pathology in spinal muscular atrophy. *Nat*
 748 *Commun*. 2025;16(1):8724. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-64164-w>.
 749 16. Goodwin M, Mohan A, Batra R, Lee KY, Charizanis K,
 750 Fernández Gómez FJ, et al. MBNL sequestration by toxic
 751 RNAs and RNA misprocessing in the myotonic dystrophy
 752 crain. *Cell Rep*. 2015;12(7):1159–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.07.029>.

- 760 17. Batra R, Charizanis K, Manchanda M, Mohan A, Li
761 M, Finn DJ, et al. Loss of MBNL leads to disruption of
762 developmentally regulated alternative polyadenylation
763 in RNA-mediated disease. *Mol Cell*. 2014;56(2):311–22.
764 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.08.027>.
- 765 18. Mullari M, Fossat N, Skotte NH, Asenjo-Martinez A,
766 Humphreys DT, Bukh J, et al. Characterising the RNA-
767 binding protein atlas of the mammalian brain uncovers
768 RBM5 misregulation in mouse models of Huntington's
769 disease. *Nat Commun*. 2023;14(1):4348. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39936-x>. PMID:37468457
770 PMCID:PMC10356804
- 771 19. Daughters RS, Tuttle DL, Gao W, Ikeda Y, Moseley ML,
772 Ebner TJ, et al. RNA gain-of-function in spinocerebellar
773 ataxia type 8. *PLoS Genet*. 2009;5(8):e1000600. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000600>. PMID:19680539
774 PMCID:PMC2719092
- 775 20. Scheckel C, Drapeau E, Frias MA, Park CY, Fak J, Zucker-
776 Scharff I, et al. Regulatory consequences of neuronal
777 ELAV-like protein binding to coding and non-coding
778 RNAs in human brain. *eLife*. 2016;5:e10421. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.10421>. 779
- 780 21. Sato K, Takayama KI, Inoue S. Stress granules sequester
781 Alzheimer's disease-associated gene transcripts and
782 regulate disease-related neuronal proteostasis. *Aging*
783 (Albany NY). 2023;15(10):3984-4011. <https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.204737>. 784
- 785 22. Blue SM, Yee BA, Pratt GA, Mueller JR, Park SS, Shishkin AA,
786 et al. Transcriptome-wide identification of RNA-binding
787 protein binding sites using seCLIP-seq. *Nat Protoc*. 2022
788 May;17(5):1223–65. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-022-00680-z>. 789
- 790 23. Porter DF, Miao W, Yang X, Goda GA, Ji AL, Donohue
791 LK, et al. easyCLIP analysis of RNA-protein interactions
792 incorporating absolute quantification. *Nat Commun*.
793 2021;12(1):1569. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21623-4>. 794
- 795 795
796